

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
5 AT TACOMA

6 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 DARVEONTRAE MALIK COLLINS,

10 Defendants.

Case No. 3:19-mj-5240 DWC

ORDER

11 THE COURT conducted a hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §
12 3142(e),(g) on the government's Motion for Detention (Dkt. 6) on December 17, 2019
13 and determined that detention is appropriate because no condition or combination of
14 conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community or
15 reasonably assure the defendant's appearance in court as required.

16 The defendant is charged in the United States District Court for the Western
17 District of Washington with six felony counts, three of which are felony drug offenses
18 with a maximum sentence of ten years or more and three of which are related firearms
19 charges. Counts 1, 3, and 5 of the Complaint charge Mr. Collins with possession with
20 intent to distribute a controlled substance—i.e., marijuana, alprazolam, cocaine, and
21 fentanyl—under 18 U.S.C. § 2; 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C), (b)(1)(D). Counts 2, 4,
22 and 6 charge him with possession of a firearm in furtherance of each of the three drug
23 trafficking crimes charged in Counts 1, 3, and 5, under 18 U.S.C §§ 924(c)(1)(A).

1 The defendant is entitled to a presumption of innocence, and under the Bail
2 Reform Act, the Court is required to determine—taking into full consideration the
3 defendant’s constitutional rights and that the defendant is presumed innocent—whether
4 the defendant should nevertheless be detained pending trial. *United States v. Motamedi*,
5 767 F.2d 1403, 1408 (9th Cir. 1985).

6 A presumption of detention applies in Mr. Collins’s situation, because the Court is
7 required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)(A),(B) to apply the presumption as to both
8 dangerousness and flight risk when a defendant is charged with a felony drug offense
9 with a maximum sentence of ten years or more, or with possession of a firearm in
10 furtherance of a drug trafficking crime. Dkt. 1. The defendant bears the burden of
11 proffering evidence to overcome the presumption. The government maintains the
12 burden of persuading the Court by a preponderance of the evidence that Mr. Collins is a
13 non-appearance risk, or by clear and convincing evidence that Mr. Collins is a danger to
14 the community. *United States v. Hir*, 517 F.3d 1081, 1086 (9th Cir. 2008). When the
15 defendant offers evidence to rebut the presumption, that does not mean the
16 presumption is erased; the Court may consider the presumption as an evidentiary
17 finding that militates against the defendant’s release and weighs the presumption along
18 with all the factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g). *Id.*

19 Although the four factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) permit this Court to
20 consider the nature of the offense currently charged, and the evidence of guilt, these
21 factors are considered only in the context of evaluating the likelihood that the person will
22 fail to appear or will pose a danger. *United States v. Motamedi*, at 1408. Even if a
23 defendant poses a danger to others or to the community, the defendant must be
24
25

1 released when the Court determines there would be a “condition or combination of
2 conditions [that] will reasonably assure. . .the safety of any other person and the
3 community.” *United States v. Hir*, 517 F.3d 1081, 1091-92 (9th Cir. 2008).

4 The defendant proffered evidence in this case, but has not overcome the
5 presumption of detention. The government met its burden of showing by a
6 preponderance of the evidence an extreme risk of flight or failure to appear. The
7 government has also met its burden of showing by clear and convincing evidence that
8 Mr. Collins presents a serious risk of dangerousness to others and to the community.

9 Regarding risk of flight, Mr. Collins has an extensive history of failure to appear in
10 court in the past two years. The Supplemental Pretrial Services Report (filed under seal)
11 shows that since 2018, Mr. Collins has had 16 arrest warrants issued for failing to
12 appear in Lakewood Municipal Court and Pierce County Superior Court, nine of which
13 were ordered in the pending state proceedings related to the instant charges. Dkt. 11, at
14 3-4. Furthermore, the Report and the government’s Complaint indicates an additional
15 pattern of fleeing from law enforcement, as Mr. Collins currently faces charges in Pierce
16 County Superior Court for attempting to elude a police vehicle, and in the arrest forming
17 the basis for Counts 1 and 2, Mr. Collins attempted to flee from law enforcement on foot.
18 Dkt. 11, at 4; Dkt. 1, at 5. This evidence shows that Mr. Collins has a pattern of
19 disregarding the authority of this Court and of the state courts, and it establishes that Mr.
20 Collins would likely be an extreme flight risk and a serious risk of failure to appear if
21 released on supervision.

22 Regarding the potential risk of dangerousness, the nature and seriousness of the
23 current offenses indicate that Mr. Collins is a danger to the community. Mr. Collins faces
24
25

1 multiple charges, not only in this court, but in state court, for controlled substance and
2 firearms violations. The current offenses involve substantial quantities of dangerous
3 drugs, including fentanyl and other opiates. Dkt. 1, at 9-10. During the prosecution of
4 this charges, Mr. Collins has been apprehended multiple times driving in the community
5 with stolen firearms and controlled substances, including on one occasion where he was
6 driving with his infant child to his own court hearing. Dkt 1; Dkt. 11, at 4.

7 The Court considered the defendant's proffer of evidence that he has strong
8 family support from his mother and girlfriend. If he is released, his mother is willing to
9 allow him to return to her home in the period before his trial. Mr. Collins argues that he is
10 strongly motivated to care for his and his girlfriend's small child.

11 The Court is not persuaded that family support, combined with any of the pre-trial
12 restrictions that defendant proposes, would be enough to ensure Mr. Collins's
13 compliance with the terms of release into the community. For the last two years, Mr.
14 Collins has demonstrated that he cannot be trusted to appear before the court when
15 called. During this time, he has allegedly participated in the distribution of dangerous,
16 illegal drugs, such as fentanyl and cocaine and has repeatedly been apprehended with
17 stolen weapons. Even with the support of his friends and family, the evidence shows
18 that Mr. Collins is unlikely to alter his pattern of failing to appear before the court and
19 repeated alleged involvement in dangerous criminal activities. The Court finds that no
20 condition or combination of conditions of release would reasonably assure that Mr.
21 Collins would appear in court as required or that defendant would not endanger any
22 other person or the community.

1 The Government's motion for detention (Dkt. 6) is, for all of the above reasons,
2 GRANTED.

3 Dated this 19th day of December, 2019.

4
5 
6

7 Theresa L. Fricke
8 United States Magistrate Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25